Predicate Abstraction for Dense Real-Time Systems

Oliver Möller[1], Harald Rueß[2], Maria Sorea[2]

[1] BRICS
Århus, Denmark
omoeller@brics.dk

[2] SRI International
Menlo Park, California, USA
{ruess,sorea}@csl.sri.com

Outline

1. Framework
   - Timed systems
   - Propositional μ-calculus
2. Predicate abstraction of timed systems
3. Restricted delay steps
4. Completeness of Refinement Algorithm
5. Small Example

Timed Systems

Timing constraints Γ, propositional Symbols A

Timed System $\mathcal{S} = \langle L, P, C, \rightarrow, l_0, I \rangle$



Semantics as transition system $\mathcal{M} = \langle L \times \mathcal{V}_C, P, \Rightarrow, (l_0, \nu_0) \rangle$ with non-zenoness assumption:

if trace infinite, sum over all delays is ∞

Clock Regions

- Given: $\mathcal{S}$, C, $\tilde{c}$
- Finite partition of the infinite state space
- Clock region: $\mathcal{X}C \subseteq \mathcal{V}_C$ s.t. for all $\chi \in Constr(c)$ and for any two $\nu, \nu' \in \mathcal{X}C$ it is the case that $\nu \models\!\approx \chi$ if and only if $\nu' \models\!\approx \chi$
- $\nu_1 \equiv_{\mathcal{S}} \nu_2$

Propositional Next-Free μ-Calculus

Syntax:

$$\varphi := p \mid \forall(\varphi_1 U \varphi_2) \mid \exists(\varphi_1 U \varphi_2) \mid Z \mid \mu Z.\varphi \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi \wedge \varphi \mid tt$$

Semantics: $[\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathcal{M}}_{\vartheta}$ . . . set of states for which φ holds

Intuitively, an existential (strong) until formula $\exists(\varphi_1 U \varphi_2)$ holds in some states s iff φ_1 holds on some path from s until φ_2 holds.

$$[\![\exists(\varphi_1 U \varphi_2)]\!]^{\mathcal{M}}_{\vartheta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$$

$$\{s_0 \in S \mid \text{there exists a path } \tau = (s_0 \Rightarrow s_1 \Rightarrow \ldots), \text{ s.t. } s_i \in [\![\varphi_2]\!]^{\mathcal{M}}_{\vartheta}$$

$$\text{for some } i \geq 0, \text{ and for all } 0 \leq j < i,\ s_j \in [\![\varphi_1]\!]^{\mathcal{M}}_{\vartheta}\}$$

Model Checking

- Given: $\mathcal{M}$, φ
- Model checking problem: $l_0 \stackrel{?}{\in} [\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow$ **Yes/No**
- Finite quotient for timed systems: region construction
- Our approach: successive refinements of finite approximations

Abstract Interpretation: Galois Connections



$(\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{A}}, \sqsubseteq^{\mathcal{A}})$ abstract system

$(\mathcal{Q}, \sqsubseteq)$ concrete system

$\alpha : \mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{A}}$ abstraction

$\gamma : \mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{Q}$ concretization

Essence: connection of 2 lattice structures

Problems: stability and self-loops

Predicate Abstraction of Timed Systems

Abstraction Predicates

- with respect to a given clock set C
- formula with the set of free variables in C
- set of abstractions predicates $\Psi = \{\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{n-1}\}$

Abstraction function

- $\alpha : \mathcal{V}_C \to B_n$
- $\alpha(\nu)(i) := \psi_i \nu$

Concretization function

- $\gamma : B_n \to \wp(\mathcal{V}_C)$
- $\gamma(b) := \{\nu \in \mathcal{V}_C \mid \bigwedge_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi_i \nu \equiv b(i)\}$

Over-/Under-Approximation

Given: $\mathcal{M}$, Ψ

Over-approximation of $\mathcal{M}$: $\mathcal{M}^{+}_{\Psi} = \langle S^A, P, \Rightarrow^{+}, s_0^A \rangle$

Under-approximation of $\mathcal{M}$: $\mathcal{M}^{-}_{\Psi} = \langle S^A, P, \Rightarrow^{-}, s_0^A \rangle$

- $S^A := L \times B_n$
- $(l,b) \Rightarrow^{+} (l',b')$ iff $\exists \nu \in \gamma(b).\ \exists \nu' \in \gamma(b').\ \ (l,\nu) \Rightarrow (l',\nu')$
- $(l,b) \Rightarrow^{-} (l',b')$ iff $\forall \nu \in \gamma(b).\ \exists \nu' \in \gamma(b').\ \ (l,\nu) \Rightarrow (l',\nu')$
- $s_0^A := (l_0, b_0)$, where $b_0(i) = 1$ if $\psi_i \nu_0$ and 0 otherwise.
- $\Rightarrow^{-} \subseteq \Rightarrow^{+}$

Over-/Under-Approximation – Example

$\Psi = \{\psi\}$, where $\psi \equiv x > y$



a: Over-Approximation



b: Under-Approximation

Example for Abstraction



We want to verify: $\varphi = \forall\,(tt\,U\,at\_l_1)$

Abstraction predicates: $\{x = 0, x < 1, x = 1\}$

Assume the following sequence in the concrete trace:

$(l_0, x = 0) \overset{1/2}{\Rightarrow} (l_0, x = 1/2) \overset{1/4}{\Rightarrow} (l_0, x = 3/4) \overset{1/4}{\Rightarrow} (l_0, x = 1) \overset{\mathbf{true}}{\Rightarrow} (l_1, x = 1)$

Abstraction yields (only a fragment is illustrated):



Problem: spurious self-loop

Modified Semantics: Restricted Delay Step

Given: $\mathcal{S}$,C, $\tilde{c}$

A delay step $(l,\nu) \xrightarrow{\delta} (l,(\nu+\delta))$ is a restricted delay step iff

$$\exists x \in C.\, \exists k \in \{0,\ldots,c\}.\, \nu(x) = k \;\vee\; (\nu(x) < k \wedge \nu(x) + \delta \geq k)$$

Restricted transition relation: $\Rightarrow_R \subseteq (L,\mathcal{V}_C) \times (L,\mathcal{V}_C)$

The second delay step in the previous trace is disallowed:

$$(l_0, x=0) \Rightarrow (l_0, x=1/2) \;\not\Rightarrow (l_0, x=3/4) \Rightarrow (l_0, x=1) \Rightarrow (l_1, x=1)$$

Theorem:

$$[\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathcal{M}}_{\vartheta} \;=\; [\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}}}_{\vartheta}$$

Predicate Abstracted Semantics

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\![tt]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma} &:= S^A \\
[\![p]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma} &:= \{(l,b) \in S^A \mid p \in P(l)\} \\
[\![\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma} &:= [\![\varphi_1]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma} \cap [\![\varphi_2]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma} \\
[\![\neg\varphi]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma} &:= S^A \setminus [\![\varphi]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^{\bar\sigma}} \\
[\![\exists\,(\varphi_1 U \varphi_2)]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma} &:= \{s_0 \in S^A \mid \text{there exists a path } \tau = (s_0 \Rightarrow^\sigma s_1 \Rightarrow^\sigma s_1 \ldots), \\
&\quad \text{s.t. } s_i \in [\![\varphi_2]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma} \text{ for some } i \geq 0, \text{ and} \\
&\quad \text{for all } 0 \leq j < i,\ s_j \in [\![\varphi_1]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma} \\
[\![\forall\,(\varphi_1 U \varphi_2)]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma} &:= \{s_0 \in S^A \mid \text{for every path } \tau = (s_0 \Rightarrow^{\bar\sigma} s_1 \Rightarrow^{\bar\sigma} \ldots), \\
&\quad \text{there exists } i \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } s_i \in [\![\varphi_2]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma}, \text{ and} \\
&\quad \text{for all } 0 \leq j < i,\ s_j \in [\![\varphi_1]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma}\} \\
[\![Z]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma} &:= \vartheta(Z) \\
[\![\mu Z.\varphi]\!]_{\vartheta}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma} &:= \cap\{S' \in S^A \mid [\![\varphi]\!]_{\vartheta[Z:=S']}^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^\sigma} \subseteq S'\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Soundness & Completeness

Given: $\mathcal{M} = \langle S^C, P, \Rightarrow, s_0^C \rangle$ a transition system

Ψ a set of predicates

$\mathcal{M}_\Psi^+, \mathcal{M}_\Psi^-$ the over-/under-approximations

Theorem: $\gamma([\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^-}) \subseteq [\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \gamma([\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^+})$

Soundness & Completeness

Given: $\mathcal{M} = \langle S^C, P, \Rightarrow, s_0^C \rangle$ a transition system

Ψ a set of predicates

$\mathcal{M}_\Psi^+, \mathcal{M}_\Psi^-$ the over-/under-approximations

Theorem: $\gamma([\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^-}) \subseteq [\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \gamma([\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^+})$

$$(\forall \psi \in \Psi.\, \psi\nu_1 \Leftrightarrow \psi\nu_2) \Rightarrow \nu_1 \equiv_{\mathcal{S}} \nu_2$$

Soundness & Completeness

Given: $\mathcal{M} = \langle S^C, P, \Rightarrow, s_0^C \rangle$ a transition system

Ψ a set of predicates

$\mathcal{M}_\Psi^+, \mathcal{M}_\Psi^-$ the over-/under-approximations

Theorem: $\gamma([\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^-}) \subseteq [\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \gamma([\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^+})$

Theorem:

If $(\forall \psi \in \Psi.\ \psi\nu_1 \Leftrightarrow \psi\nu_2) \Rightarrow \nu_1 \equiv_{\mathcal{S}} \nu_2$

Then $[\![\varphi]\!]_\vartheta^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^-} = [\![\varphi]\!]_\vartheta^{\mathcal{M}_\Psi^+}$

Refinement of the Abstraction

- Basis: the ”exact” abstract transition system can be computed
  Not practicable
- Successive approximation of the abstract transition relation
- Counterexamples
- Given: $\mathcal{M}$, Ψ, φ
- Algorithm for computing $\mathcal{M}^+_\psi$ stepwise s.t. ($\psi \subseteq \Psi$)
  $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi$ iff $\mathcal{M}^+_\psi \models \varphi$

Example (Refinement)

$\varphi := \neg\exists\,(tt\,U\,at\_l_2)$

$\Psi := \{x = 0, y = 0, x \leq 1, x \geq 1, y \leq 1, y \geq 1, x > y, x < y\}$

I. $\psi_0 \equiv x = 0$



$\mathcal{M}^{+}_{\{x=0\}} \overset{?}{\models} \varphi$ NO

$\tau = \big(\ (l_0, \psi_0) \Rightarrow^{+} (l_1, \psi_0) \Rightarrow^{+} (l_1, \neg\psi_0) \Rightarrow^{+} (l_2, \neg\psi_0)\ \big)$

Example – Continuation I.

$$\tau = (\underbrace{(l_0, \psi_0)}_{s_0} \Rightarrow^+ \underbrace{(l_1, \psi_0)}_{s_1} \Rightarrow^+ \underbrace{(l_1, \neg\psi_0)}_{s_2} \Rightarrow^+ \underbrace{(l_2, \neg\psi_0))}_{s_3}$$

Is there a corresponding counterexample on the concrete transition system?

$\exists\, \tau^c = (y_0 \Rightarrow y_1 \Rightarrow y_2 \Rightarrow y_3)$ s.t.

$y_0 \in \gamma(s_0),\ y_1 \in \gamma(s_1),\ y_2 \in \gamma(s_2),\ y_3 \in \gamma(s_3),\ y_0 = s_0^c$

$$\begin{aligned} F \ := \ & \exists\, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3 \in S^C. \\ & y_0 \in \gamma(s_0) \ \wedge\ y_1 \in \gamma(s_1) \ \wedge\ y_2 \in \gamma(s_2) \ \wedge\ y_3 \in \gamma(s_3) \ \wedge \\ & y_1 \Rightarrow y_2 \ \wedge\ y_2 \Rightarrow y_3 \ \wedge\ y_0 = s_0^c \end{aligned}$$

Is F valid?

Example – Continuation II.

Here F is unsatisfiable!

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
y_0 \in & (l_0, x = y = 0) & \in \gamma(s_0) \\
 & \Downarrow & \\
y_1 \in & (l_1, x = 0 \wedge 0 \leq y \leq 1) & \in \gamma(s_1) \\
 & \Downarrow & \\
y_2 \in & (l_1, x > 0 \wedge y > x) & \in \gamma(s_2) \\
 & \Downarrow\!\!\!\!\not\; & \\
y_3 \in & (l_1, x > 0 \wedge y \geq 0) & = \gamma(s_3)
\end{array}
$$

Example – Continuation III.

Let k s.t.

1. $\exists\,(y_0 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow y_k)$
2. $y_i \in \gamma(s_i)$ forall $0 \leq i \leq k$ $\qquad$ $\boxed{k = 2}$
3. $\forall\, y_{k+1} \in \gamma(s_{k+1}).\, y_k \not\Rightarrow y_{k+1}$

Choose $\psi_1 \in \Psi$ s.t. $\forall\, y \in \gamma(s_k),\ y' \in \gamma(s_{k+1}).\, y \not\Rightarrow y'$
In our case: $\psi_1 \equiv x > y$

Example – Continuation IV.

New approximation $\mathcal{M}^{+}_{\{x=0,x>y\}}$

Satisfies formula $\varphi = \neg\exists\,(tt\,U\,at\_l_2)$



Algorithm terminates with **true**

$(l_0, x = y = 0) \;\in\; [\![\neg\exists\,(tt\,U\,at\_l_2)]\!]^{\mathcal{M}}$

What can be verified ?

Safety

Liveness

Observations:

- self-loops problem:
  solved by restricting the delay steps in *concrete* system
- logic is un-timed and *without next*
- a weaker assumption than non-zenoness suffices
  (only restrict infinite sequences of delay steps)

Bibliography

[Möl02] M. Oliver Möller. *Structure and Hierarchy in Real-Time Systems*. PhD thesis, BRICS PhD school, University of Aarhus, February 2002. see http://www.brics.dk/~omoeller/papers/.

[MRS01] M. Oliver Möller, Harald Rueß, and Maria Sorea. Predicate abstraction for dense real-time systems. Research Series RS-01-44, BRICS, Department of Computer Science, University of Aarhus, November 2001. available at http://www.brics.dk/RS/01/44.